

## Catalogue for all Australian schools

# TOO MANY 'STANDARDS'?

**WHAT HAS HAPPENED TO ASCIS?**  
Why such a question should lodge itself in my mind is something I don't want to go into here. Maybe it was the fact that the LAA had just completed a pretty chaotic move into new premises and back copies of *The Australian Library Journal* were appearing in very odd places!

Those of you who are not school librarians might be thinking what on earth is ASCIS? If you look back to the 16 October 1978 (no 17) issue of the *AustLibJ* you'll find that it stands for Australian School Catalogue Information Service.

Is it still alive and well and living in South Australia? Or has it bitten the dust like so many good ideas in the past? I thought it would be interesting to find out.

### Background

ASCIS was a pilot project funded by the Schools Commission. The Commission agreed to fund the project until the end of 1979, but in fact kept the funding going until the middle of 1980. The aim of the project was to find out if a computer-based catalogue card service for all Australian schools could be introduced.

A Steering Committee, appointed to look into the feasibility of ASCIS, comprised representatives of Library Services for each State and Territory.

The Committee published a report in July 1980, but since then the project appears to have gone into limbo. Why?

From what I've heard, it seems that one of the main stumbling blocks is that members

of the Committee could not agree on a national set of cataloguing standards. Some members felt that they had to stay with their existing standards.

The 1980 report states: 'ASCIS has an established set of standards which has been accepted in entirety by Tasmania. However, the issue of standards will have to be addressed again as new users join the system. The ASCIS pilot project has demonstrated that there continue to be considerable differences across state systems'.

An appendix attached to the report tells us that 'As a generalisation, Western Australia's entries are probably the most different, and also the most detailed. Tasmanian and Queensland entries the least different. Victoria has maintained an extensive correspondence with the cataloguers based in South Australia and send entries which require little change. New South Wales uses less detailed cataloguing. . .'

### Disagreement

So, after more than two years of deliberations, the committee agreed to disagree. Should ASCIS be laid to rest and forgotten about? I don't think so.

When I talked to a spokesman from the Schools Commission he said that the Commission had received hundreds of letters from school librarians all over Australia. Nearly all the letters applauded the Commission for their funding and interest in ASCIS, and most carried the plea 'can we please join'. Obviously, with reactions like that, school librarians think ASCIS would be of great advantage to them.

### Different angle?

What can be done? My knowledge of cataloguing is nil (in fact, I thought 'level 3' was a sci-fi novel!) but if a committee, knowledgeable about cataloguing, has tried for over two years to come to an agreement and cannot, then maybe ASCIS should be looked at from a different angle.

Maybe it is not necessary for all states to agree to a national set of cataloguing rules. Maybe one answer is for ASCIS to generate tapes according to each state's specifications, then let each state use these tapes in whatever way they wish.

Or would it be more feasible and less expensive to compromise in order to gain other benefits. After all, what is the purpose of so many 'standards'? I wonder would the consumers, the teachers and students really notice the difference?

In these days of stringent budgets, surely anything which can be done to minimise duplication, time, and therefore money, must be of benefit to all.

## AV and Copyright

**T**HE AUDIOVISUAL provisions of the Copyright Act are to be reviewed and the Association is pressing for library and educational copying to be dealt with promptly and if possible separately from the wider questions which arise from problems inherent in the widespread and growing home copying and the involvement of powerful commercial interests in aspects of the question such as cable TV.

The Association's view is that it will take an inordinate time to resolve all the problems which a total review involves and that our problems must be dealt with now to allow effective use of existing technologies for the benefit of users.

As the only practical suggestion so far advanced for ensuring a return for copyright owners involves the introduction of a heavy tax on all audiovisual copiers and the recording medium, the nature of the lobbying which will be involved is likely to be intense. This is particularly so when it is remembered that if it is to be effective any such tax would have to be applied not only to blank tapes, but also to tapes carrying sound and/or visual material — the very material which is being protected.

In the meantime it has been indicated discreetly to copyright owners that there should, in effect, be a moratorium on action against libraries and educational institutions until the review is completed. It has been suggested that if such a moratorium is not allowed that it will be necessary for government to introduce legislation in haste. This, it is indicated, may disadvantage copyright owners.

## COPYRIGHT AMENDMENT ACT

**T**HE FOLLOWING COMMENTS, which are based on a report of a meeting with officers of the Attorney-General's Department, are made available to allow librarians to better assess their procedures in implementing the amended Act.

### Copyright and the remote user

The Association, AACOBs and other groups have been pressing for changes to be made to the recently amended Copyright Act to make it possible for libraries to supply copies of material in response to requests from clients who cannot conveniently sign requests and declarations as required from 1 August 1981. Progress is being made and it is understood that the Attorney-General is currently considering making the necessary changes in the law.

### Contents lists of periodicals

The Attorney-General has expressed a view that the copying of contents lists and abstracts

without the previous receipt of a request and declaration would breach the Act (see *Australian Special Libraries News*, March 1981). While it is clear that such copying could attract legal action if multiple copies of contents pages are made and distributed to encourage what could be regarded as 'systematic copying', there is general agreement with the Attorney-General's officers and copyright interests that the making of one copy is unlikely to attract an action in court.

The same is true for the copying of abstracts and this is particularly clear where the abstract copied is the author abstract at the beginning of an article which may be regarded as an insubstantial portion.

The term 'systematic copying' has been applied to those cases where a system is introduced which virtually substitutes the copying of articles for the purchase of a periodical. It would be clear, for example, that this had been the case if all, or all but one copy of

*Continued page 2*

## COPYRIGHT *continued*

a title taken by a library or a group of libraries was cancelled and the distribution of copies of title pages, inviting requests for copies, substituted for the purchase.

### Criminal penalties

There has also been discussion about the necessity for criminal penalties under the Act with consequent effects. It is understood that this matter is being examined in order to determine whether there could be substitution of civil penalties, such as those under the Trade Practices Act (Section 78-79) which still involve fines but do not result in a criminal conviction being recorded against an individual's name. Those concerned could well let the Attorney-General know their views.

In any case, it is the view of those legally qualified that in view of the wording of the Act and of normal legal procedures that such penalties would be likely to be applied to those who deliberately rather than accidentally breached the Act.

### Other problems

Details of other problems that arise in the introduction of the Act could be sensibly addressed to the Executive Director of the Association as a further opportunity will occur for discussions with the Department on these matters. Such material should include specific examples of the problem to illustrate it.

## McMicken Award

THE AUSTRALIAN Library Promotion Council is seeking nominations for the third Alfred McMicken Award. The Award will be presented at the national opening of Australian Library Week on 18 September 1981 in Melbourne.


All nominations must be accompanied by a statement detailing the work accomplished in library promotion by the person nominated. For more information contact the Executive Director, ALPC, 328 Swanston Street, Melbourne, Vic 3000. Closing date for nominations is 14 August 1981.

## WAT

What Acronym's That  
compiled by Fran Hegarty

A list of acronyms and abbreviations from Australian library literature, for students of librarianship.

Available from:



Administration Unit  
Footscray Institute of  
Technology Library  
PO Box 64 Footscray  
Vic 3011

Please include a cheque, postal note or LAA voucher for \$1 to cover postage and packaging.

## SECOND TRADE FAIR

PETER DANIEL and Co, who organised the very successful First Australian Library and Information Trade Fair for the Canberra conference, has again been commissioned to organise the Trades Exhibition for the 22nd Biennial Conference in Adelaide.

The Trade Exhibition will be in the Bonython Hall on the University campus and in the adjacent Brookman Hall. These magnificent old buildings will add to the tone of the exhibitions and their close proximity to the other venues will make them easy to visit as often as participants might desire.

It is anticipated that a broad range of other exhibitors will complement the more traditional library suppliers and booksellers. Interest has already been expressed from places as far away as the Netherlands, India and Spain. (And don't forget that South Australia is the state for wineries and opal fields.)

The exhibition is still in the planning stages and prices therefore are not available but if you or your company is interested, now's the time to contact Peter Daniel & Co Pty Ltd, 220 Pacific Highway, Crows Nest, NSW 2065. Telephone (02) 929 8188, Telex AA 26062.

## LASIE

... presents ...

## MANAGING THE MICRO

a day for information professionals  
deciding about micros for  
home, office or library

**Experts to hear: micros to play with**

Saturday, 5 September 1981  
College of Law Chandos Street,  
St Leonards, Sydney

Fee: \$45, \$35 (members)

Contact: Arne Pedersen  
LASIE Australia Co Ltd  
PO Box 602 Lane Cove 2006  
(02) 427 2181 or (02) 218 9362

## The new NMI2020<sup>®</sup>

The dependable front  
projection 16 mm and 35 mm  
roll microfilm reader.



- Eliminates everything that gets in the way of reliability.
- Handles all types of spools.
- Can be fitted to accommodate aperture cards.
- High quality, rugged construction.
- Large 24" x 24" viewing surface slanted 15° for easy viewing.
- Unique NMI film transport system reduces film scratching.
- Quality color corrected lenses give high contrast and superior resolution.

## leigh-mardon graphics

A member of AMATIL group of companies  
15-31 Keys Road,  
Moorabbin, 3189.  
Tel. (03) 555 0388

N.S.W. Microsystems  
Tel (02) 922 3494  
QLD. Computer Micrographic  
Tel (07) 229 6900  
S.A. Computer Microfilming  
Tel (08) 276 1643  
W.A. Computer Microfilming  
Tel (09) 321 7414