Volume 2, Number 12, December 1997

SOCIAL SECURITY



aw Library 3/K1/S404

Including Student Assistance Decisions

AUSTUDY: 'the that the ap

normal requirement for admission'

The President of the AAT has found it necessary to decide a point of law in the AUSTUDY jurisdiction, because of two different interpretations adopted by several AAT panels.

A student will not be paid AUS-TUDY if the student takes more than the minimum time for the course plus 6 months or 1 year (regulation 41). If the student starts another course at the same level, then the time spent studying the previous course will be taken into account, unless passing the previous course is 'the normal requirement for admission' to the current course (regulation 47).

This phrase had been interpreted by the AAT in *Grey and Secretary to the DEETYA* (1996) Vol 2(3) *SSR* 40 as being 'the usual or typical obligation' to be complied with to be admitted to the present course. There could be several different ways of entering the course. In *Wilkinson and Secretary to the DEETYA* (decided 2 August 1996) it was decided that the appropriate test was whether completion of the previous course is *the* normal requirement, not *a* normal requirement.

Mathews J decided in Baker p.173 that there can be 'only one requirement that fits the description "the normal requirement for admission" to a course'. This would mean that if most students gain admission to a bachelor degree course on their VCE score, this presumably would be 'the normal requirement for admission', even if the University accepted or even encouraged other forms of entry. The AAT did not discuss how the DEETYA is to determine 'the normal' requirement if there are several forms for entry. However, it did decided that if there is more than one 'normal' method of entry, regulation 47 would not apply at all.

In passing, Mathews J noted an anomaly in the *Austudy Regulations* because of a conflict between regulation 38, which describes the level of types of courses, and regulation 47. Group A courses (in regulation 38) include postgraduate bachelor degree courses, and Group B courses include undergraduate courses. This means that if a person gained admission to a postgraduate bachelor degree course because the per-

Continued on p.174

ISSN 0817 3524

In this Issue

AAT Decisions

Disability support pension: special reasons for treating as not a member of a couple, saved single rate of pension Sinanai 162 Disability support pension: illness separated couple Porter 163 Sole parent pension: special widow Dagher 163 Income from family trust: in the financial vear Papamihail 164 Home child care allowance, parenting allowance: income, waiver Willems 165 Assets test: moneys paid to discharge liability of trust Clarke 165 Newstart allowance and additional parenting allowance: assets test, property of partner Schokhoff 166 Disability support pension: hardship provisions, unrealisable assets McCormack and McCormack.... 167 Overpayment of DSP; recipient in gaol; waiver of debt Perkich 167 Newstart allowance: breach of CMAA Hall 168 Newstart allowance: written notice of obligation Carlvle 169 Disability support pension: permanently hlind Lawson 169 Disability support pension: onset of continuing inability to work Kovacevic and Kovacevic 170 Child disability allowance: 'overcaring' Hart 170 Child disability allowance: asthma, objective test Bennett 171 Procedure: stay order Vesnaver 172 **Student Assistance Decisions** AUSTUDY: time spent in full-time study Baker; Cameron-Taylor; Cleverley 173

Baker; Cameron-Taylor; Cleverley.... 173 AUSTUDY: minimum time of course; industry-based requirements Newing 174

Newing	••••	1	1

Index

..175

The **Social Security Reporter** is published six times a year by the Legal Service Bulletin Co-operative Ltd. Tel. (03) 9544 0974

Edit or: Christine Heazlewood

Contributors: Helen Brown, Margaret Carstairs, Christine Heazlewood, Guiseppina Hopkins, Susanne Liden, Bill Mitchell, Mary-Anne Noone, Phillip Swain, Andrea Treble and Peter Wilmshurst.

Layout: Last Word

Printing: Thajo Printing, 4 Yeovil Court, Mulgrave.

Subscriptions are available at \$40 a year, \$30 for Alternative Law Journal subscribers. Please address **all correspondence** to Legal Service Bulletin Co-op, C/- Law Faculty,

Monash University, Clayton 3168 Copyright © Legal Service Bulletin Co-operative Ltd 1997

Print Post approved PP381667/00178





description of the 'the normal requirement' for admission to a course.'

(Reasons, para. 41)

So, regulation 47 cannot apply if there is more than one standard or 'normal' admission to a course. The 'normal' admission is not necessarily the only admission. There may be other less usual ways of gaining entry to the course.

An anomaly in the Regulations

Regulation 47 provides an exemption in certain circumstances to the limitations in regulation 41(1), which applies only to courses at the same level. Group A courses in regulation 38 are post graduate degree courses, a bachelor degree course which can be undertaken by graduates. Group B courses are ordinary undergraduate courses. So a student could move from a Group B course to a Group A course and be studying at a different level. The restrictions in regulation 41(1)would not apply. If this were so there would be no need for regulation 47. The AAT considered this anomaly and decided that regulation 47 was so unambiguous in its wording that it must be given its ordinary meaning and override the anomaly.

Formal decision

The AAT affirmed the decision

[C.H.]

AUSTUDY: minimum time of course; industry-based requirements

SECRETARY TO THE DEETYA and NEWING (No. 12158)

Decided: 27 August 1997 by B.H. Pascoe.

Background

Newing enrolled in a Bachelor of Applied Science degree at Swinburne University in 1991, a 4-year course in which Year 3 is constituted solely by industry-based learning. In 1992 he failed the majority of his subjects, withdrew from the course and instead in 1993 undertook a computer course. He completed the second year of the degree in 1994, undertook the industry-based year in 1995, and completed the course successfully in 1996.

Newing lodged his 1996 application for AUSTUDY on 25 March 1996, but the DEETYA determined that he was eligible only for Semester 1 of that year on the basis that by the beginning of Semester 2 of that year, he would have already spent 4.5 years in full time study. On appeal the SSAT set aside this decision and substituted a decision that he was qualified for the whole of 1996.

The law

The principal legislative issue was the interpretation of reg. 41 of the AUS-TUDY Regulations, which provides:

'41(1) A student can get AUSTUDY in a year of study for a tertiary course only if, at the relevant date, the time already spent by the student in full-time study at the level of the tertiary course, is less than

- (a) if the minimum time for the course is more than one year — the sum of the minimum time for the course plus:
 - (i) half a year; or
 - (ii) if the student is enrolled in a yearlong subject — one year; or
 - (iii) if the student's further progress in the course depends on passing a whole year's work in the course one year ...'

The SSAT had taken the view that, where a course is semesterised, the 'relevant date' must be determined at the time of application and that, having determined at the beginning of Semester 1 in 1996 that Mr Newing was qualified for AUSTUDY, he remained so qualified unless the payment was varied or terminated according to the Regulations. There being no circumstances upon which the DEETYA was entitled to reassess Mr Newing's eligibility, the SSAT concluded that he remained qualified for AUSTUDY until the end of the 1996 year.

Minimum time

The AAT found that the SSAT view was not based on a proper interpretation of Regulation 41, and that Newing could only receive AUSTUDY in 1996 'if, at the start of a semester, the time already spent by him in full-time study at the level of such course is less than the minimum time needed to complete the course at pass level plus half a year': Reasons, para. 7. The AAT held that 'it was not a question of setting a date for cessation as at the time of his application but determining that there would be no entitlement from the start of the second semester': Reasons, para. 7.

Newing's father submitted that the year of industry-based learning should not be considered as a 'year of study', and that indeed Swinburne University allowed the year to be deferred until after completion of academic subjects, should a student so desire. The AAT considered this submission would require it to determine whether the meanings of 'minimum time to complete the course' and 'time spent in full-time study' are different. It concluded that regardless of whether the industry year was regarded as a year of study or not, Newing had spent a time equal to the minimum time for the course by the beginning of Semester 1 of 1996, and therefore his entitlement to AUS-TUDY was limited to another six months.

Formal decision

The AAT set aside the decision and substituted a decision that AUSTUDY was not payable to Newing for the second Semester of 1996.

[P.A.S.]

Opinion—continued from page 161

son had completed a bachelor degree, regulation 41 would not apply because the courses were at different levels. But, the AAT said, this would mean that regulation 47 would have no meaning, and given the unambiguous wording of regulation 47, this could not be so.

Changes at the SSAT

Deirdre Fitzgerald, the Senior Member of the SSAT in Melbourne will resign from 31 December 1997. Deirdre had been the Senior Member in Melbourne since 1988 when the SSAT gained its determinative powers. She is responsible for introducing numerous innovative practices to the Tribunal, and was widely regarded as being the Tribunal's 'legal brain'. Her expertise and good humour will be missed by her staff and part-time members. Deirdre is retiring from fulltime work, and the SSR wishes her well for the future.

[C.H.]