

**Waiver**

The AAT heard evidence of Santa Ana's financial circumstances and decided that he could afford to repay the debt at the rate of \$50 a week.

**Formal decision**

The AAT varied the decision so that Santa Ana was liable to repay social security payments made to his mother until 20 October 1988, and the debt was to be repaid at the rate of \$50 a week.

[C.H.]

## Debt: full-time/ part-time student

JIANG and SECRETARY TO THE DSS

(No. 11943)

**Decided:** 16 May 1997 by D.W. Muller and M.M. McGovern.

Jiang requested review of the decision to cancel payment of his newstart allowance (NSA) in November 1995, and to raise an overpayment of NSA and job search allowance (JSA) of \$2587.89 paid between 13 July 1995 and 16 November 1995.

**The facts**

Jiang had been granted a 4-year temporary residence visa in 1990, and he was paid a special benefit from 1991. He continued to be paid the special benefit while he studied for and then completed his Masters degree in April 1994. In November 1994 Jiang was granted permanent residency. After finishing his Masters, Jiang had tried to obtain employment in his field. He was unsuccessful. At the end of 1994 Jiang had gone to the DSS and the CES and explained that he was looking for work. He was told that there was no need to transfer from the special benefit to JSA.

In 1995 Jiang enrolled in a PhD course. He told the DSS that he had enrolled in a PhD course, and gave them a letter from the university which advised that Jiang was a full-time student. Jiang told the AAT that he attended university once a week or once a fortnight. In mid 1995 he was visited by an officer of the DSS who advised him to transfer to JSA. Jiang told the AAT that when he completed the form he did not indicate that he was a student on the advice of the DSS officer. The JSA was granted. In November

1995 another officer of the DSS advised Jiang that he was not entitled to JSA or NSA because he was a full-time student, and his payments were cancelled. Jiang had completed a form every fortnight in which he did not reveal that he was a student. He told the AAT that he had completed the first form correctly, but in the later forms he did not reveal he was a student because he did not think he was full-time and he had already told the DSS he was a student. He had attempted to tell his case manager but she was not interested.

**The AAT's assessment of the evidence**

The AAT found Jiang to be a truthful and reliable witness, who had been co-operative with the DSS in all his dealings. From January 1995 the DSS had been aware that Jiang was a full-time student. He would not have applied for JSA if the DSS officer had not visited him and encouraged him to do so. This DSS officer had been aware of Jiang's status. Jiang had told the DSS that studying was only filling in time while he looked for a job.

**Full-time student**

The AAT said that it was aware of AAT decisions which had found that the Tribunal should be guided by the student's status as stated by the university when deciding whether a student is full-time or part-time. However other AAT decisions had found that it was necessary to examine each case on its merits. In this matter the AAT preferred to look at the facts 'rather than use a mechanical formula': Reasons, para. 7. The AAT concluded that Jiang was not a full-time student on the evidence.

**Waiver**

In the alternative the AAT considered whether the overpayment should be waived. The DSS had argued that Jiang had given false information when he failed to advise the DSS that he was a full-time student. The AAT rejected this argument, and found that Jiang had been paid JSA and NSA solely due to administrative error, and that he received the money in good faith. It also found that there were special circumstances in this case.

**Formal decision**

The AAT set aside the SSAT decision and determined that Jiang did not receive a social security benefit to which he was not entitled. If he did, any debt should be waived.

[C.H.]

**[Editor's Note:** The AAT did not explain what made the circumstances of this case special. Presumably it was influenced by the

fact that Jiang would have continued to be entitled to special benefit throughout the period in question.]

## Mature age allowance: carrying on a business

MILLS and SECRETARY TO THE DSS  
(No. 12040)

**Decided:** 18 July 1997 H.E. Hallows.

The SSAT had varied a DSS decision to raise and seek recovery of a debt of mature age allowance (MAA) paid to Mills so that the period was reduced and ended on 19 October 1996.

**The facts**

Mills lodged a claim for a MAA on 12 August 1994 when he turned 60. He had not worked since 1987. His claim was accepted and Mills was advised in writing that he must tell the DSS if his income exceeded more than \$45 a week. Mills' annual income was recorded as \$2. In September 1995 the DSS advised Mills that the Tax Office had recorded that he (Mills) was employed. He was asked to supply details of his income. Mills' employer provided the DSS with information about the period of his employment and the lump sum payments made to him. Mills objected to the method used by the DSS to calculate his fortnightly income. He argued that his expenses associated with earning this income were unusually high. He had initially believed that he was to be hired as a consultant. When he was told that this was not possible, he was already committed to the project and so continued the employment.

Mills had approached the DSS for advice when he was attempting to obtain the consultancy. He was told that if he was self employed, he would be able to reduce the income from his business by his expenses. Mills calculated that his expenses were greater than his earnings, and so he did not advise the DSS that he had an income. Mills' employer supported Mills' evidence that he was to be employed as a consultant initially. Mills told the AAT that he had not informed the DSS of his actual employment, but had discussed it in general terms. Because he did not earn more than \$45 a week after deducting expenses he did not advise the DSS that he was earning an income.