Overpayment: 'deserted wife'

BELL and SECRETARY TO DSS (No. 89/1101)

Decided: 15 October 1990 by M.D. Allen, C.J. Stevens and J. Kalowski.

The DSS decided that Bell had received \$23 391.70 in excess of her entitlement to a widow's pension. The SSAT affirmed the decision under review and she appealed to the AAT.

The facts

Bell was granted widow's pension in August 1979 on the basis that she was a 'deserted wife'. In July 1986 the DSS was informed that Bell and her husband had never separated and were still living together. In August 1982 Bell and her husband had purchased a house as joint tenants. By letter received 26 August 1986, Bell notified the DSS of a reconciliation and requested cancellation of her benefits.

On 23 March 1989 Bell pleaded guilty in a local court to an offence under the Social Security Act. She had failed to notify the DSS that she was employed while receiving widow's pension. She was convicted and fined \$1500 plus cots. The total amount admitted to have been obtained as a result of the offence was \$4444.40.

Bell's case was that, as a result of a motor vehicle accident, she had become difficult to live with and her husband had left her. She was later awarded \$61 000 and purchased a house. There was a shortfall of \$16 000 between the price of the house and her damages award and, as financial institutions would not lend to a single woman, she had approached her husband. The property was subsequently purchased as joint tenants. Later the loan was refinanced and in both instances the couple had presented themselves as cohabiting. A joint account was opened in their names which either party could operate.

In his evidence, Bell's husband conceded that the solicitor handling the purchase was not informed that the parties were living separately. Bell conceded that, as against the rest of the world, there was no indication that she and her husband had separated.

The legislation

At all relevant times the definition of 'deserted wife' in the Social Security Act read:

"deserted wife" means a wife who has been deserted by her husband without just cause for a period of not less than three months'.

The cases

The Tribunal said the concept of desertion is not without difficulty. The leading case was *Pardy v Pardy* [1939] P 288 where Sir Wifred Greene said:

"The word "desertion" may describe an act or it may describe a state. For the act of desertion both the factum of separation and the animus deserendiare required.... A defacto separation may take place without there being an animus deserendi, but if that animus supervenes, desertion will begin from that moment, unless, of course, there is consent by the other spouse."

In Tulk v Tulk [1907] VR 64, Cussen J said:

'Desertion commences when one of the spouses, without the consent of the other, terminates an existing matrimonial relationship, with the intention of forsaking that other and permanently or indefinitely abandoning such relationship.... An existing matrimonial relationship does not end so long as both spouses bona fide recognize it as subsisting.'

In Powell v Powell (1948) 77 CLR 521, the High Court followed Pardy and held the animus deserendi might be inferred from the words and conduct of the deserting spouse, a continuance of the de facto separation and the absence of consent by the other party. In Potter v Potter (1954) 90 CLR 391, the majority said:

'If the proper conclusion is that the respondent spouse has brought to an end, against the will of the other, a pre-existing matrimonial relation or consortium vitae, the other is entitled to a decree of dissolution.'

The decision

The AAT found that Bell tailored her evidence to best advance what she perceived as her interests and had a history of deception in her dealings with the DSS. It noted the case of *Petty and Davis* (1982) 10 SSR 99:

'Where applicants make an untruthful or misleading statement concerning their relationship they must realise that the inference is likely to be drawn against them, that they are endeavouring to conceal the true nature of their relationship.'

There were discrepancies as to where Bell lived at various times and an inference was drawn that she attempted to conceal the true state of affairs from the DSS.

Both the husband and wife recognised that, although they were living apart (if indeed they were), the marriage was still on foot and neither of them took any step to change this state. At no time did Bell's husband have the requisite animus deserendi. Rather, it was Bell herself who, by her conduct and specific requests for him to leave, deserted her

husband. The Tribunal said she could not be regarded as a wife deserted by her husband, much less deserted without just cause. At all times the husband supported the wife and his 2 daughters, paid the bills and maintained a joint account. Bell was never entitled to a widow's pension on the basis of being a deserted wife.

Formal decision

The AAT affirmed the decision under review.

[B.W.]

Invalid pension: anti-social personality disorder

GARDINER and SECRETARY TO DSS

(No. N89/38)

Decided: 14 February 1991 by R.N. Watterson, T.R. Russell and H.D. Browne.

On 1 June 1988, the DSS made a decision to cancel Gardiner's invalid pension. He appealed to the SSAT which considered he was 85% permanently incapacitated for work and satisfied s.27(a) of the Social Security Act, but that less than half his incapacity was due to medical impairment, so he did not satisfy s.27(b).

The facts

Gardiner was 41 and had not worked for a living in a sustained way for 20 years. During that time the principal source of income for him and his family had been the social security system. In 1983 he was granted invalid pension on the grounds of drug addiction, multiple fractures, nervous diarrhoea, anxiety and depression. The DSS reviewed his eligibility after receiving information that he was involved in touch football as a player and referee.

Gardiner had left school at 14, worked briefly as an office boy and as a labourer in an abattoirs 'on and off' for 5 years. Since 1969, his only jobs had been weekend work as a supervisor at an RSL club for 4 or 5 months, 2 nights' work as a ticket seller and 14 weeks' work erecting real estate signs. In nearly all these jobs he had been in trouble for fighting