

The Hon Paul de Jersey AC Chief Justice

I am very pleased to be present again at this pleasant annual occasion, the tenth consecutive luncheon I have been privileged to attend as Chief Justice in what I understand to be the 12 year history. The Association is now in its 44th year. I trust your practices are proceeding well, and that you enjoy a state of contentment, both professional and personal. I know I should be circumspect in saying that, because it is difficult for a judge long out of practice to appreciate the demands of contemporary practice. But of this I can be certain: I sincerely wish you well!

Last year, having spoken a little about the history of the profession on the Gold Coast, I talked of the sesquicentenary of the Supreme Court and the then intended events including and surrounding the opening of the new Brisbane courthouse.

Your President has asked me to speak today about the most significant event in the life of the Supreme and District Courts this year, which was indeed the opening on 3 August by the Governor of the Queen Elizabeth II Courts of Law, the new metropolitan Supreme and District Courthouse. His request followed what was, I suspect, a rather "eager" presentation I had made a week after the opening at a meeting at Law Society House of the Presidents of the District Law Associations. I am more than happy to oblige.

Now I am out of Brisbane, however, and ever conscious of the need to talk only of matters relevant to one's patient audience. But the significance of the metropolitan courthouse surpasses Brisbane. This is the metropolitan courthouse of all Queenslanders, and it is the reality of course that those of you who litigate, or have non-contentious business which concerns the court, in the Supreme Court in particular, will probably have occasion, practice wise, to attend at the court – notwithstanding the increasing utilization of



technology to accomplish clerical matters. In any event, I encourage you to visit your new courthouse anyway: it is simply a wonder to experience.

We concluded sittings in the old courthouse on Friday 24 August 2012. The feeling was quite extraordinary. I had occupied and sat in that building for my entire judicial career, 27 years, 14 of them as Chief Justice. Yet I am sad to have to say that it was not difficult to leave the place.

No doubt imaginative in its time – the former Supreme Court opened in 1981 and the former District Court, the original Supreme Court in 1971 – the structure had come to present as the very antithesis of 21st century justice: a fortress on three sides with a precious attempt at sub-tropicality in the courtyard on the fourth. It was built at a time when security considerations predominated. There were therefore remarkably few windows – none in the courtrooms, and the superb riverside site seemed to have been squandered: the building faced away from the river rather than embracing it. Watch that space for the hotel likely to replace it: undoubtedly proximity to the river will be a predominating feature. For a working judge, the courtrooms were the ultimate sticking point, windowless, claustrophobic spaces with decoration, come 2000, registering high on the kitsch scale.

Yet we should not overlook the efficient and long service accomplished in that courthouse. Major trials – that of Childers backpacker murderer Long, Pauline Hansen, Gordon Nuttall, Dr Patel, Max Sica...; and developments that courthouse sought to embrace, if haltingly, with the march of technology.

I was struck by Justice Byrne's reported remarks on his departure from the old building. Come the time to adjourn that day, he reportedly paused, then said he was endeavouring to think of a reason to be nostalgic, but could find none. I left the old building in the course of a murder trial. My jurors were alive to the historical significance, and with, I assume, bemusement, asked would they be presented with a commemorative mug. I informed



them that authorizing such a thing was quite beyond the authority of a Chief Justice, but I did offer them the prospect of an enlivening experience come the following Monday.

What did they then experience? They would have entered a building with huge public spaces, the maximum inflow of natural light, arresting artworks, outside a grassed plaza the size of Queens Park and Post Office Square, wooden Queensland floors in the courtrooms, underfloor electrical installations and air-conditioning, a building with five star green credentials, secure public gardens, absolutely optimal technology, amazingly large courtrooms, natural light even into the cells – though of course they did not visit them, Wi-Fi on all floors, and the Legal Heritage Museum.

Now having experienced those aspects, or some of them!, they also discharged their duty, and in what was I would have thought a particularly gruesome and difficult case. I will remember that jury for quite some time: it was the first jury in my 27 years in judicial office which sent me, following verdict, a thank you note for the way I had treated them.

That trial experience was, for me, particularly memorable for the influx of natural light into the courtroom in particular: I felt it must have enhanced the well-being of all participants.

My jury aside, what will the public notice as they interact with the justice system through this new building? Thoughtful people will think of transparency: they will recognize the crystalline nature of the building, symbolizing the transparency of the process going on within. It presents, as I have said a number of times, as a "glass" building. They will recognize features, such as the large open spaces, the foliage, the art, which will desirably distract from any undue dwelling on the difficult processes normally going on within. And they will see it as "their" building: the most significant public building in the CBD since the Executive Building opened in 1971.

Well, Brian, my presentation today may have been even more "eager" than the enthusiastic presentation at Law Society House which led you to request a reprise today.



This is a truly magnificent building, ladies and gentlemen, and I hope you will come to experience it. I am particularly proud that if a large amount of public money was to be committed to a public building, at the time it was, that the courts should have been the beneficiary. The people of our State now have the best metropolitan courthouse in the nation. The courts no longer present, as was the case for many years, as the very poor cousin of the legislature and the executive.

Thank you for tolerating my exuberance. I should say no more now than beyond confirming my very best wishes to you all, as you practise in this part of our State in which exuberance and enlivenment are also ensuring features: may they render you professional lives fulfilling, and your personal lives contented.