with fraudulent reception of transmissions is (as under the UK Copyright and Designs Act (1988)), to target manufacturers and suppliers of equipment used by home viewers. This includes the right to seize pirate decoders.

- 3. Private Contractual Arrangements
  Copyright Owners would be advised to
  obtain a clear grant of rights
  unfettered by the existing exemptions,
  which would allow them to obtain
  payment for broadcast and diffusion of
  films. Copyright Owners also may wish
  to include in any licensing agreement
  a provision which obliges the provider
  of the satellite transmission to restrict
  access to the signal to the intended
  audience so as to protect the interests
  of the owners of Copyright in the
  programme material.
- 4. Collection System for Royalties
  The introduction of a system to
  facilitate the distribution and collection
  of royalties based on the additional
  revenue generated from the creation of
  new rights. There are two mechanisms
  which may be considered:
- (a) contractual negotiations and collective administration of the rights negotiated therein;
- (b) a statutory licensing system with remuneration as agreed or failing that as determined by the Copyright Tribunal or other competent authorities.

In reviewing current Australian Copyright legislation, it is apparent that Copyright Owners are not adequately protected, nor immune from loss of revenue due to unauthorised reception of their programs.

A number of options for reform are canvassed in this commentary. They are a necessary step in reconciling developments in technology with the rights of Copyright Owners to receive satisfactory remuneration for use of their work.

Nathalie Curtis is a solicitor with Blake Dawson Waldron, Sydney Office. This comment is an edited version of an article published in the April Edition of the European Entertainment Law Review.

# **World Review**

## A survey of some recent international developments

#### WARC

he World Administrative
Radio Conference (WARC 92)
was held at Torremolinos,
Spain, until 3 March 1992.
The conference was attended by over
1400 delegates from 127 member states
of the International Telecommunications Union. The key decisions made
at the conference included:

- The allocation of 1.5 GigaHertz for digital audio broadcasting. This motion, sponsored by Australia and Canada, succeeded despite opposition from the USA, Japan, the Commonwealth of Independent States and much of the EC. The allocation paves the way for the early introduction of DAB.
- Spectrum was allocated for future public land mobile telecommunications systems (FPLMTS) and Aeronautical Public Correspondence. FPLMTS will provide mobile telephone and data services with regional and international roaming. Aeronautical Public Correspondence will allow the provision of public telecommunications services to passengers on commercial airline flights.
- An allocation was also made for low earth orbit satellites providing telecommunications services. Low earth orbit satellites have the advantage of much lower launch costs than geostationary satellites.

#### **Cable Regulation**

n early 1992 the US Senate overwhelming passed a new Cable Regulation Bill which, if enacted, will impose a detailed regulatory regime on the cable television industry. The features of the Bill included:

- Detailed regulation of the subscriber rates offered by cable television;
- A must carry obligation imposed on cable operators, under which a local commercial television station could opt to force a cable operator to carry its signal free of charge;
- New ownership limits, which would empower the FFC to impose reasonable audience reach limits on cable operators.

Amendments to the Bill have been forwarded to the Editor.

moved in the House, which will reduce the impact of its provisions. Even if the Bill is eventually passed, President Bush may veto it, as he is opposed to any fresh regulation of the cable industry.

## Copyright in the EC

he BBC applied to the Court of First Instance of the European Communities to annul a decision of the Commission that the BBC had infringed Article 86 of the EC Treaty by refusing to license the publication of its advance weekly listings for TV and radio programmes in Ireland and Northern Ireland for publications and sale in a comprehensive weekly TV guide. Article 86 prohibits abuse by one or more undertakings of a dominant position within the common market insofar as it may affect trade between Member States. The Court of First Instance dismissed the BBC's application, holding that the BBC had breached Article 86. The Court rejected the BBC's argument that it had merely been protecting the specific subject matter of its copyright in such programme listings, noting that the EC Treaty did not allow the protection of intellectual property to constitute a means of arbitrary discrimination or disguised restriction on trade between Member States.

### Papua New Guinea

n 4 March 1992 the Papua New Guinea Parliament passed the Radio-communications (Amendment) Act 1992. The legislation provides for the regulation of satellite broadcasting in Papua New Guinea, which previously was unregulated. PNG currently receives a range of satellite transmitted broadcasting services. It is expected that the legislation will be used to impose program classification standards on those services, some of which appear to be broadcasting programs which would not be approved by the Censorship Board. The legislation becomes effective on the date of gazettal.

Contributions to World Review may be forwarded to the Editor.