

The New Unfair Dismissal Regime

John Wilson,
New South Wales Law Society



Unfair dismissal was a key reason for the unpopularity of the ill-fated *WorkChoices* regime. By preventing employees from accessing state-based unfair dismissal remedies and exempting enterprises employing less than 100 employees from the federal unfair dismissal regime, *WorkChoices*¹ effectively left three million Australian employees with nowhere to go if they were unfairly dismissed².

From 1 July 2009, the Rudd Government's *Fair Work Act 2009 (Cth)*, ('the Act') removed the *WorkChoices* less-than-100-employee exemption from unfair dismissal. Although the Act continues to block access to state based unfair dismissal remedies, the majority of Australian employees now have access to the federal unfair dismissal regime.

The threshold issues practitioners will now need to be abreast of include:

- The concept of a 'national system employee'³;
- The relevant qualifying periods of employment⁴;
- The income thresholds of dismissed employees⁵; and
- The limitations applying to casual employees⁶.

An understanding of what amounts to a dismissal may be required in situations of redundancies⁷, forced resignations, demotions and for

fixed term, fixed task and seasonal employees⁸.

Assuming jurisdiction, Fair Work Australia ('FWA') (which replaces the Australian Industrial Relations Commission), will consider whether a dismissal was 'harsh, unjust or unreasonable' having regard to the usual factors⁹, the objective being to achieve 'a fair go all round'¹⁰. The Act is silent as to who bears the onus of proof on these matters. As with *WorkChoices*, a mere breach of procedural fairness is not the only consideration when assessing the fairness of a dismissal.

In an effort to minimize the effects of the Act on Small Business Employers (as defined¹¹), a six paragraph Fair Dismissal Code applies to those Employers¹². The original idea was that compliance with the Code would in effect be a defence to an unfair dismissal claim. However, this is not what s. 385 of the Act says. Code compliance will merely be the starting point for the FWA's consideration of an unfair dismissal application.

A new quick, cheap, informal and lawyer-free process is claimed for the handling of applications. Applicants now have 14 days from the date of termination in which to lodge their application with FWA¹³. Whether what happens next is markedly different from the current conciliation/formal hearing model remains to be seen. Parties can minimize or avoid formal hearings, and still achieve a binding decision

by FWA¹⁴. As to the lawyer-free claim, lawyers can appear with permission of the Tribunal, although they may have to justify their necessity¹⁵.

The primary remedy remains reinstatement, with compensation in lieu thereof only if reinstatement is considered 'inappropriate'. Compensation remains capped at six months pay with no element for shock, distress or humiliation to be included in any award¹⁶.

Parties will continue to bear their own costs of proceedings other than in exceptional circumstances¹⁷. We can expect a continuation of low compensation awards, meaning in practice that it may not be cost effective for a claimant or respondent to retain a solicitor.

Practitioners also need to be aware FWA may not be the best forum if reinstatement is not appropriate. If elements of discrimination are present in the dismissal, clients might be better advised to lodge a complaint with the Australian or Human Rights Commission. Of course, there still remains recourse to the courts for breach of implied terms of good faith and mutual trust and confidence. In those forums, dismissed employees may be able to recover damages for hurt, humiliation and distress that well exceed the economic loss element of the claim¹⁸.

It is early days in the implementation of the Act and we eagerly await decisions by FWA for a more

thorough understanding of how the regime will operate in practice. ↓

John Wilson is the only Accredited Specialist (NSW Law Society) in Employment and Industrial Law operating in the ACT. John can be contacted on john.wilson@wln.com.au

Footnotes

1. Workplace Relations Act 1996 (Cth) s 643 (10)
2. The Explanatory Memorandum to the Fair Work Bill 2009 estimates the number of employees employed in businesses containing 100 or fewer employees to be about 3 million.
3. For constitutional reasons all employees of ACT employers, and employees employed by companies outside of the ACT are 'national system employees'. This leaves employees of sole traders, unit trusts and partnerships outside of the ACT not covered by this Part of the Act.
4. Six months' continuous service or one year's service for those working for a Small Business Employer: s 383.
5. Employees earning over \$108,300 and who are not covered by an industrial instrument: Reg 3.05
6. S 384
7. S 389
8. S 386
9. Eg. whether reasons were given, whether the dismissal was for a valid reason/s, whether the person was notified of that reason and given an opportunity to respond, whether performance warnings were given (etc).
10. S 381(2)
11. Less than 15 employees on a head count basis after 1 Jan 2011 (s 23), and on a full time equivalent basis until then: Fair Work (Transitional Provision and Consequential Amendments) Act 2009 Sch 12 A.
12. See the www.deewr.gov.au for copies of the Code, declared under s 388 of the Act.
13. Extensions available in 'exceptional circumstances'.
14. See Chapter 3 Part 3-2 Division 5 of the Act.
15. Section 596
16. S 392
17. S 611
18. See the June 2009 Ethos column.

Courts Library

News of new items

Frieda Evans,
Library Manager,
Courts Library

The Courts Library issues two products with details of new items received by the library; these are the *Current Awareness Bulletin* and the *Email Bulletin*. They are sent out by email regularly; if you would like to be placed on the distribution list, please let us know.

The *Current Awareness Bulletin* is issued at the beginning of each month and has photocopies of the contents page of all issues of the

journals received by the library in the preceding month. The *Email Bulletin* is issued in the middle of the month and has information about items received in the preceding four weeks – articles indexed, new books received, legislation notices about commencement and assent in the *NT Government Gazette* and Northern Territory judgments handed down in the Supreme Court, Court of Appeal and Court of Criminal Appeal.

If you would like to receive copies of either *Bulletin* as they are produced, please send an email to courtslibrary.doj@nt.gov.au or phone 8999 6583 to ask to be added to the mailing list. There is no charge for going on the mailing list. ↓

Phone: (08) 8999 6585
Fax: (08) 8999 6181
Email: Frieda.Evans@nt.gov.au
Web: www.nt.gov.au/ntsc