# Viva the republic?

At the recent Australian Legal Convention in Canberra a large number of participants, including the Federal Attorney General Daryl Williams, elected to wear the Australian Republic Movement logo on their name badges in a show of support for the republic. *Balance* canvassed the views of the Northern Territory profession on the upcoming referendum and preamble vote.

A straw poll of Northern Territory legal practitioners and members of the judiciary has found that while a majority intend to vote "yes" for a republic on 6 November, there is a fair amount of apathy about the referendum.

Interest was sparked in legal circles when the fate of the title of Queen's Counsel was linked to the possibility of an Australian Head of State replacing the current constitutional monarchy.

Practitioner confidence in the proposed model for a republic was lacking, with both 'yes' and 'no' proponents expressing dissatisfaction in the referendum proposition. Similarly, there were dissenting views on the preamble and its applicability and relevance.

The number of surveyed lawyers expressing indecision about their vote at this stage, may reflect the national malaise and makes the outcome of the referendum will be difficult to call.

#### For or against the republic model?

Leading proponent for the no vote in the Territory and constitutional lawyer, **Peter McNab**, told local ABC Radio that the no vote will be made by both people who support a republic for Australia, but are unhappy about the model, and those who prefer the constitution to remain unchanged.

"There are people who want a republic in the true sense - which is a truly directly elected president - and who are voting no to the



Federal Attorney General Daryl Williams.

proposed model, and there are others, like myself, who believe the constitutional monarchal system is fine," he said.

President of the Women Lawyers Association, **Melanie Little**'s comments reflect that view also. "I support the establishment of a republic," says Ms Little, "but not the model proposed."

Darwin practitioner **Geoff James** told *Balance* that his yes vote will be made "without any real satisfaction as our system requires significantly greater revision; these changes are purely superficial."

When asked if he would advocate a further referendum on the direct election of a presi-

dent Mr James replied positively. "But I would prefer to have a truly presidential system which will not be achieved by such a referendum."

Solicitor with the Ombudsman's Office, Emma Sommerville, claims she is satisfied with the model, as does long term resident of Darwin, George Cridland. "I approve of the method of election shaped in the question," said Mr Cridland.

Alice Springs practitioner, **John McBride**, lends his support to the republican cause and says he would support a further referendum on the direct election of a president.

"If you are going to it [have a republic], you might as well go the whole hog and have the president directly elected." An Irishman by birth, Mr McBride cites the Irish model as a good model for a republic, and one which shows that "the presidency can be an office that is not politically sensitive."

A Magistrate who declined to be named sees the direct election of a president in a different light. "An elected president will politicise the position and lead to a dog fight over powers and the relationship with the legislature and executive! If it ain't broke - don't fix it!"

Continued page 15

## Viva the republic? From page 1.

#### The preamble

Whilst the majority of the polled practitioners support a yes vote for the republic, sentiments about the preamble varied widely.

**David Bamber,** solicitor with NAALAS in Alice Springs, will vote against the preamble. "I don't think we really need one," he said.

Northern Territory University based solicitor **Matthew Storey** argues strongly against the preamble, saying he is "disappointed and appalled" that the preamble denies the judiciary the ability to interpret the constitution.

Duncan McConnel, Morgan Buckley Mildrens, says he is against the preamble because it starts with 'in hope in god'. President of the Law Society and Barrister at James Muirhead Chambers, Jon Tippett, says that "a hope in god means there is no hope at all."

Concern about the preamble's treatment of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people was raised as an important issue.

"The current preamble fails to properly characterise Torres Strait and Aboriginal history," said Ms Melanie Little.

Mr Tippett concurs. "We need to acknowledge indigenous people not merely honour them," he said.

Another respondent is critical of the preamble for different reasons. "No one ever thought about it [a preamble]until it was made into a political issue by politicians. Aboriginal issues should be approached in a more real and effective way."

A practitioner who wished to remain nameless will vote against the republic and against the preamble. She argues that the preamble "(a) is meaningless in any legal sense, (b) it seems to arise out of an 'ego' trip by John Howard, and (c) it can only have a divisive, if any, effect on the Australian community."

Despite these criticisms, there are those practitioners who support the inclusion of a preamble, including George

Cridland, Rex Wild QC, Geoff James and Bob Gosford.

If we get a republic, should we abolish the title of QC?

A rousing response from practitioners to the question of abolishing the title of QC was revealing.

Most practitioners polled called for the introduction of the term Senior Counsel as is currently used in New South Wales.

'Senior Counsel is all that is needed," said Solicitor General Mr Tom Pauling QC.

Rex Wild QC, Director of Public Prosecutions, begs to differ. "We didn't abolish VC's on Victoria's death... Why single out QC's? It's an honour earnt why should it be suddenly lost?" he said.

Some practitioners took the matter further. "I think we should abolish the title QC whether we get a republic or not, "said Darwin based family lawyer Cecil Black. "I think QC is outdated - Senior Counsel is the best expression to use."

Katherine lawyer **Graham Cole** echoed that sentiment. "We should abolish the title QC anyway," he said.

Northern Land Council lawyer and Law Society Council member, Mr Gosford, argues there is a need for recognition of merit and seniority in the legal profession, but says "it would be more appropriate to institute a Senior Counsel system."

#### NT votes to count

With a number of surveyed NT lawyers admitting they have yet to decide on their vote, no conclusions about the referendum can be drawn from this poll. The votes of Northern Territorians will be counted in the overall poll, but being a Territory these votes will not figure in the majority of states. What is clear is that every vote will count.

Mr McNab told the ABC Radio that "the polls are showing it's going to be very, very tight, which means somewhat oddly, that the NT votes could make all the difference."

### A new preamble

"With hope in God, the Commonwealth of Australia is constituted as a democracy with a federal system of government to serve the common good.

We the Australian people commit ourselves to this Constitution proud that our national unity has been forged by Australians from many ancestries;

never forgetting the sacrifices of all who defended our country and our liberty in time of war;

upholding freedom, tolerance, individual dignity and the rule of law;

honouring Aborigines and Torres Strait Islanders, the nation's first people, for their deep kinship with their lands and for their ancient and continuing cultures which enrich the life our country;

recognising the nationbuilding contribution of generations of immigrants;

mindful of our responsibility to protect our unique natural environment;

supportive of achievement as well as equality of opportunity for all;

and valuing independence as dearly as the national spirit which bind us together in both adversity and success".

