

Proposals for the new Australian Telecommunications Authority (AUSTEL)

Among the proposals recently considered by government concerning the operation of the telecommunications industry was a recommendation to establish a new regulatory body, AUSTEL, with the capacity to investigate consumer complaints. In the original proposal AUSTEL was to take over the Ombudsman's jurisdiction with regard to complaints about Telecom. After discussions between the Ombudsman, the Department of Transport and Communications and the Minister, Senator Gareth Evans, the government decided that:

'AUSTEL will have a capacity to refer to the Ombudsman complaints it receives which are more appropriately dealt with by the Ombudsman. As a general principle, the Ombudsman will deal with matters affecting individuals while AUSTEL will be concerned with complaints which raise wider regulator policy considerations. Arrangements will be developed between the Ombudsman and AUSTEL to avoid duplication of effort and to minimise confusion over responsibility for responding to complaints. Arrangements will also be developed between the Ombudsman and AUSTEL to ensure that, subject to confidentiality provisions, AUSTEL is advised of complaints that may raise regulatory implications. AUSTEL will report to the Minister on the overall regulatory implications of consumer complaints'.

The Minister agreed to recommend to Cabinet that a Parliamentary review examine the effectiveness of the complaint handling procedures two years after the establishment of AUSTEL.

ACT self government

The government has announced proposals for ACT self government which provide that the ACT Assembly have power to enact its own administrative law but that, in the interim, the Commonwealth will hand over laws in terms similar to the Administrative Decisions (Judicial Review) Act, the Freedom of Information Act and the Ombudsman Act, which will apply as ACT Assembly laws to the decisions of the ACT Government. The Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act is to be amended to permit ACT laws to confer jurisdiction on the Tribunal.

R E C E N T P U B L I C A T I O N S

Bates, F.

'The effects of misleading and inadequate information given to Australian social security applicants', Journal of social welfare law, March 1987:109-22

- Bayne, P. 'Guidance and judicial review', The Australian Law Journal, 62,5, May 1988:383-8
- Bryant, T. 'The Planning Appeals (Amendment) Act 1987', The Law Institute Journal, 61,10, October 1987:1016-18
- Caldwell, J.L. 'Judicial review', New Zealand Law Journal, November 1987:340-1
- Cameron, B.J. 'Allies of a kind: the politics of law reform', New Zealand law journal, January 1988:18-21
- Cane, P. 'Self regulation and judicial review', Civil justice quarterly, 6, October 1987:324-47
- Castles, A.C. 'Some uncertain foundations of judicial review in Australia', The Australian Law Journal, 62,5, May 1988:380-2
- Crock, M. 'A taxing problem for migrants: running a test case', Law Institute Journal, 62,6, June 1988:515-7
- Feldman, D. 'Judicial review: a way of controlling government?', Public Administration, 66,1, Spring 1988:21-34
- Goldring, J. and I. Thynne Accountability and control: government officials and the exercise of power, Sydney: The Law Book Company, 1987
- Harrison, K. 'Access to FOI policy documents - the experience of the public interest advocacy centre', Freedom of Information Review, 6, December 1987:74-5
- Hitchcock, C. 'Prying the lid off government secrecy: twenty years of the Freedom of Information Act', Public Citizen, December 1986:9-10,23
- Laking, G. 'The ombudsman in transition', Victoria University of Wellington law review, 17,4, September 1987:307-17
- Langworth, E. 'New Zealand FOI developments', Freedom of Information Review, 11, October 1987:61-63

- Laufer, S.
'Procedure before the Australian Broadcasting Tribunal - a comparative analysis with the Administrative Appeals Tribunal. Part 2', Australian Current Law, April 1988:36011-15
- Lewis, C.
'Retrospective and prospective rulings in administrative law', Public Law, Spring 1988:78-105
- Momsen, J.H.
'"Appeals" from the AAT to the Federal Court - is there a "matter" involved?', Australian federal tax reporter, 1988:889,072-6
- Nicholson, R.D.
'Practice procedure and evidence in the Administrative Appeals Tribunal. Part 1', Australian Bar Review, 4,1, March 1988:85-92

'Reviewability of Cabinet decisions affecting business', Australian Business Law Review, 16,1, February 1988:66-68
- Riley, T.
'Freedom of information: Canada: strong reactions to proposed changes in Access Act', Transnational data and communications report, 10,12, December 1987:22-23
- Williams, D.J.P.
Investigations by administrative agencies, Sydney: The Law Book Company, 1987
- Wong, S.K.M.
'Judicial review: power and public functions', Solicitors' journal, 131,51-52, 18-25 December 1987:1674-7

P E R S O N A L I A

Administrative Review Council

Mr Stuart Hamilton, formerly Deputy Secretary in the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, has been appointed to the position of Secretary, Department of Community Services and Health. Mr Hamilton took up his appointment on 1 July 1988.